

The Sun.

SUNDAY, DECEMBER 24, 1905.

Entered at the Post Office at New York as Second Class Matter.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid.

DAILY, Per Month, \$2.00; Per Year, \$20.00.

SUNDAY, Per Year, \$5.00.

DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Year, \$25.00.

DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Month, \$2.00.

Postage to foreign countries added.

Published by The Sun Printing and Publishing Association at 120 Nassau street, in the Borough of Manhattan, New York.

No friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication will be disappointed if they send them to this office.

The Salary of Mr. Bishop.

Mr. W. J. Gibson, in a letter to the Evening Post, objects on legal grounds to the payment of \$2,500 a year to Mr. JOSEPH BRICKLIN BISHOP as secretary of the Isthmian Canal Commission, in addition to \$7,500 as Commissioner, making altogether the same remuneration as he received under the former arrangement for the diverse and interesting official and literary duties which he has been performing to the admiration of the Senate and the people.

Mr. Gibson's point is that the statutes prohibit the payment of any additional compensation or fees to any person holding any Federal office at \$2,500 or upward, unless such additional payment is specially authorized by Congress. He cites these provisions of the law:

"No allowance or compensation shall be made for any extra services whatsoever, which any officer or clerk may be required to perform, unless expressly authorized by law."—Revised Statutes, in Section 1761.

"No officer in any branch of the public service, or any other person who holds any office, shall receive any additional pay, or any allowance or compensation, in any form whatever, for the discharge of public duty, or for any other service or duty whatever, unless the same is authorized by law and the appropriation therefor explicitly states that it is for such additional pay, extra allowance or compensation."—Revised Statutes, Section 1753.

"No person who holds any office, the salary or annual compensation attached to which is not in excess of \$2,500, shall be authorized to hold any other office to which compensation is attached, unless specially authorized by Congress."—Revised Statutes, in Section 1753.

Such is his reason for maintaining that the duty of office in the case of Mr. BISHOP, with \$7,500 salary as Canal Commissioner and \$2,500 additional salary or compensation as secretary and corrector of public sentiment, would be unlawful.

But has Mr. BISHOP devoted due consideration to the extent to which these three enactments, which were, respectively, of dates 1842, 1876 and 1894, have been superseded, so far as they would apply to Mr. BISHOP's case, by subsequent legislation in 1902? It is true that the Spooner act contains no express repeal of former law contrary to its provisions. Its language, however, is very positive regarding the President's power to fix compensation in the special case of canal employees. We quote from the act of 1902:

"The President is authorized for the purposes aforesaid to employ such persons as he may deem necessary, and to fix their compensation."

"Said Commissioners shall each receive such compensation as the President shall determine, and the same shall have been otherwise fixed by Congress."

Under this broad grant of authority to fix compensation in the case of each Commissioner in the first instance, and not otherwise authorized by Congress, might not President ROOSEVELT lawfully award a higher salary to Mr. BISHOP than that which the other Commissioners receive if he regarded his services as of greater value than those of the others?

Furthermore, if the proper compensation of an ordinary Commissioner is \$7,500 a year, what is the difference in principle between paying \$10,000 a year to a Commissioner who is also secretary and paying \$30,000 to a Commissioner who is also chairman?

We specially invite the attention of Senator HALE and of Senator TILLMAN to these delicate and intellectually attractive questions of the adjustment of salary to merit.

The Largest Map of the United States.

It will not be long before we shall be able to obtain the largest map of our country ever produced on a uniform scale. The topographic map of the United States on which the Geological Survey has long been engaged, and will be for years to come, is on scales from seven to sixteen times as large as that of the map referred to; but the topographic mapping of our vast territory will not be completed for many years, and three scales are being used, so that it will never serve the purposes of a uniform map of the whole country. The new map, on the other hand, may be suspended on walls that have room enough for a decoration about sixteen feet in width and of proportional height.

In other words, this new map, showing the whole country from Maine to California, will be about sixteen feet wide and ten or eleven feet in height. It will be a Government publication; and it is prepared under the direction of Mr. HENRY GANNETT, the Geographer of the United States Geological Survey, in the house of the survey at Washington.

The latest Bulletin of the American Geographical Society contains a small map showing the progress that has been made in the preparation of this important cartographic product. The parts of the map thus far drawn and ready to be engraved cover about one-third of the entire country. They include the whole of Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, the Indian Territory, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Wyoming, Utah, the western half of South Dakota and parts of California.

The sheets of the entire eastern part of the country are now in progress. The map will show contours of elevation so that we shall be able to recognize the surface form of the country on a scale so large that we may derive a more dis-

inct and definite idea of them than when they are huddled together on the small scale maps commonly in use.

This great map is drawn on a scale of 12,000,000, or, in other words, an inch on the map will represent about 15.8 miles in nature. It is not a very large scale, and in fact most of the European countries are mapped on a far larger scale; but our country is so vast that a map of the whole of it, intended to be hung on a wall, is not likely to be represented on a scale larger than 15.8 miles to an inch.

The scale is large enough to show every town of much importance, practically the entire railroad system, all the drainage features, the head of navigation on the rivers, the figures for many hundreds of heights above sea level, and a great deal of other information that is sparsely represented, if at all, on our ordinary maps. It is expected to be the best map of our land on which we may study the country as a whole.

The map will have another advantage. It is on the same scale as maps of large parts of Asia and Africa that the official cartographers of France, England and Germany are now producing. It will, in fact, form a part of the great map of the world on the scale of 1:10,000,000 which the last four International Geographical Congresses have recommended should be carried out by the civilized nations.

This large undertaking is considerably advanced, and it is now making progress faster than heretofore. One of the advantages which the map will give us is that we shall be able, for the first time, directly to compare distant parts of the earth's surface with one another. We have all seen maps of the Japanese-Russian war, but how many of us have any idea of the extent of country that was overrun by the Japanese armies? We might easily make the comparison if this map of the world were completed, for we should simply place some of the sheets of eastern Asia beside sheets of the United States.

The work bids fair to go on rapidly in the Western world. The Canadian Department of the Interior has charge of the production of a map of Canada on the desired scale. Our Geological Survey has taken steps to induce the South American republics to prepare maps of their respective countries on the uniform scale; and the next map we make of the Philippines is to be on the same scale. These enterprises afford an important contribution to the production of the world map on a uniform scale.

The House and the Janitor.

The Hon. HENRY BIRD CASSER, of the Ninth Pennsylvania district presented and had read this resolution:

"Resolved, That the doorkeeper of the House be and he is hereby empowered to employ a laborer in the ladies' reception room at a salary of \$75 per month, to be paid out of the contingent fund of the House, and for the session only."

The pay was amended to \$60 a month for the sessions of the Fifty-ninth Congress. Mr. CASSER explained for the benefit of Mr. WILLIAMS that this laborer was not an additional clerk, but a new janitor to take care of "the new room" which has been established on the other side of the building as a ladies' reception room. Mr. FITZGERALD wanted to know if the janitor was to janit "this hallway out here in which a few chairs have been put." If so, he thought he'd have to object.

Mr. CASSER, Mr. Speaker, I understand that the room has been ordered equipped as a place where members can receive visitors who come here from time to time to see them on business, and that it is especially a place where ladies can wait until a member can see them.

Mr. WILLIAMS: Where is the room?

Mr. CASSER: It is directly behind that door in the lobby.

Mr. WILLIAMS: Ever since I have been here people have sat in cars from there, ladies have come there when they wanted to, and they have been received there. It seems to me it is unnecessary to have a janitor in a hall where members go out to see people who send in their cards to them.

Mr. CASSER: Well, the room must be taken care of, and it has been recommended by the doorkeeper of the House as a necessary, and was so presented to the committee at its hearings.

Mr. WILLIAMS: Is it not a fact that about the only change made is to place a table there and a few chairs?

Mr. CASSER: I suppose it is; but there are more people gathering there at the present time than there were formerly, because they know it is a waiting place.

If members go out into the hallway there is all the more necessity for a janitor. They don't take the door with them. A classical scholar like Mr. WILLIAMS knows that a "janitor" is a chap who minds a door. Also a "laborer" in the Federal tongue, is a person paid to rest.

Mr. FITZGERALD recounted the evolution of a hallway into a reception room. Formerly a hallway opposite the entrance on the Democratic side was the only place where the Democrats could receive their friends. Somebody put a half dozen chairs and a table in it. The corridor is under the control of the Capitol police. From time to time one of those majesties walks through the corridor "to see that the members of the minority do not conduct themselves in an improper manner." What were the Capitol police for if not to keep order in the corridors? Mr. FITZGERALD ought to know that the Capitol police are to look at, to impose by their authority. To watch chairs and tables is beneath their dignity. To watch a door belongs to another profession, janitory. The New York member is adverse to janitors. Most people are in Christmas week:

"I do hope that the House will not inaugurate a system of janitors for the corridors about this Capitol. That is an entering wedge, and I am convinced that any effort made from this committee would be able to come in here with a unanimous report in favor of such a proposition. Why, Mr. Speaker, I would invite the members of the House to go out and see just where they wish to place a janitor. It is about 20 feet from the door of the House to the outside door of the Capitol. There are, as I have said, perhaps a half dozen chairs and a table there, and to take care of that reception room it is proposed to put a janitor on the rolls of the House at the rate of \$75 a month."

This appeal to the impenetrability of space is far fetched. Where to place the janitor? There's always room for him on the payroll. More talk:

Mr. MANN: Mr. Speaker, I am very much surprised at the base ingratitude of the minority side of the House. The House sets apart a receiving room for the benefit of the minority, and the mem-

bers of the majority are required to see their friends in the hall, with no chairs and no table.

Mr. CLARK of Missouri—And no janitor.

Mr. MANN: But through the magnanimity of the House controlled by the majority we set apart a corridor, tables and chairs, with opportunity for people to sit down, and then these people, when we wish to keep it clean, decline to have the place kept clean. [Laughter.] We give you the best we have got, and still you kick. [Laughter and applause.]

Mr. FITZGERALD—Will the gentleman yield just a moment?

Mr. CARRER—Certainly.

Mr. FITZGERALD: Mr. Speaker, when I was a boy I was very familiar with a motto which hung on the wall of many homes. It was, "God bless our home." Recalling that, I think I might properly say in reply to the gentleman from Illinois, "God bless the magnanimity of the majority of this House." [Laughter.]

Mr. WILLIAMS suggested that if the corridor had been for the use of the majority instead of the minority, then "judging" by recent political history we could understand why it was strictly necessary to have somebody on the payroll to keep it clean. [Laughter and applause.] If the money wasn't to be taken out of the Treasury as a memorial of the majority to the minority, how would it do to use the sum for a little piece of sculpture?

A small pedestal with a little bit of a statuette, let us say of the Speaker of the House, with a scroll, and on it the words: "Fifty-ninth Congress: to the minority with the compliments of the majority." [Laughter and applause.]

With this new tribute to the Speaker's beauty and to Republican civility the discussion closed. The resolution was beaten. The door, the six chairs and the table will have to take care of themselves, and may be split for souvenirs by lady visitors.

The Recess of Congress.

The holiday recess is one of the most useful factors in national legislation. The sessions of the few weeks which precede the adjournment serve to bring to the front the measures which are to become the leading features of the winter's work. There is a general outlining of the direction to be given to each. Discussion in committee and in personal conference gives some due to the position most likely to be taken by individual Senators and Representatives. The few weeks preceding the recess are a sort of preface and table of contents.

Then comes the recess, during which, in the seclusion of home or in conference with constituents, the Congressman gets his bearings. He has an opportunity to think over and to digest some of the information furnished in committee hearings or through the columns of the press. Not infrequently a Congressman goes home for the holidays with a fairly fixed opinion upon a certain question and returns with quite a different idea. He has discovered the error of his ways. The "insurgent" may return as the gentlest of lambs, or the lamb may come back as a rabid insurgent.

The holiday recess this year is an intermission following a most interesting prologue.

Japan's Industrial Progress.

Whether Japan succeeds, as many observers believe she will, in assuming the leading rôle in the struggle for the trade of the Far East or whether her experience supports the prediction of sceptics, there is no doubt that she is in earnest. But her trade statistics make it evident that she has a long road to travel before attaining the fulfillment of her aspirations for trade domination.

In a recent report Consul-General MILLER states that "the total number of factories in Japan at the end of 1903 was 8,274." The population of Japan is somewhat more than half that of the United States. In 1900 the State of Connecticut alone had nearly 1,600 more factories than there were in the whole of the Mikado's realm in 1903. At that time only 45 per cent. of the Japanese factories used steam or other motive power. The total number of operatives was 433,332, and the value of the output approximated \$150,000,000. The census returns for Connecticut in 1900 show 176,409 operatives and an output valued at \$300,000,000. Massachusetts, with 497,448 operatives, compared with Japan's 433,332, produced in 1900 merchandise of a value a little exceeding one billion dollars.

One of the heaviest handicaps to the industrial progress of that country appears in the fact that her mechanics do not readily adapt themselves to the economical use of machines and machinery. They can build battleships, sail them and win victories with them. They can make cannon and discharge them with destructive precision. They can and do make a wide variety of articles of wood and of metal. But they very quickly wreck and wear out the machinery and the appliances with which all this work is done. The amount which must be charged annually to a depreciation account is a heavy item. This will doubtless be overcome in time. The machines and devices so familiar to American mechanics are new and strange to them, and their mechanical education is likely to prove a costly process.

There are those who doubt Japan's success as a manufacturing country. They base their argument chiefly on the present mechanical inefficiency and on the limited native supply of raw materials. Such a belief is not to be dismissed summarily as utterly without warrant, but the weight of evidence supports the conviction that these obstacles will be overcome and that before many years Japan's competitors will be obliged to hustle if they would get trade in her neighborhood.

Battling West Point and Annapolis.

For some reason invisible to the public certain members of every Congress love to bark at and "worry" Annapolis and West Point. The custom seems to belong to the good old days when an American's hair, if he had any, was supposed to stand bolt upright with horror at the thought of a standing army, aristocracy in uniform, military despotism, and so on. The annual barking doesn't hurt the military or the naval academy, and may do good to the barkers.

Some time ago the Hon. THADDEUS MACLAY MAHON of Pennsylvania tried to make West Point and Annapolis wear drab. He wanted to provide by law that

every youth entering at those nurseries of war should have to take an oath not to engage in fist fighting or hazing. Now he tells the House:

"I am one of those who believe that when two young men or two older men deliberately plan to have a brutal fist fight that every instant of a gentleman in that fight is wiped out. That is for dogs and not for men."

We have never seen a dogfight, Marquis of Queensberry rules. Apparently that is a Pennsylvania diversion. Mr. MAHON is greatly exercised by the pugilistic instincts of the young satraps of Annapolis and West Point:

Mr. Chairman, I am free to say that I have an appointment to make to the academy, and I have a bright boy of 16 years and I am old, and I have withheld his appointment, and I am free to say if I cannot send that bright little boy in my district down to Annapolis without subjecting him to the usual treatment, some other person can fill that appointment. [Applause.] He is now living among cultivated people and not in danger of the insults and fisticuffs of the big rowdies of upper class."

It is none of our business, but we do hope that Mr. MAHON will never allow that bright and undoubtedly sweet little boy to be brought up as a fighter. Put him into something nice, soft and comparatively non-resistant, say the tape and thread line, or the charlotte russe industry.

Mr. MAHON makes one singular confession: "I was a boy once."

There must be some mistake about this.

The Hon. THETUS WILLIAMS SIMS of Tennessee, who "saw one game" of football, and "that was enough" for him, shudders as he cries:

"Think of it! Millions have been spent for magnificent buildings, officers detailed under good salaries, and young gentlemen sent there from all parts of the United States to learn what? The rules and practices of the prizefighting, prizefighting, prizefighting—how can any man who has a heart feel proud of a record made by a young man that goes there and learns such things as that?"

Yes, think of it! and the more you think of it, the less you will think of it. Those young devils should be reading Tolstoy and discussing disarmament. What's the use of educating boys if they are not to be different from other boys and have this old Adam of fighting cast out of them? We feel like singing noble hymns in praise of THETUS WILLIAMS SIMS as he speaks these words:

"I am not willing to vote appropriations here to educate young men in a charity school to compete with CORNETT, FITZSIMMONS and JEFFRIES in the learning of the prizefight. I will vote willingly to wipe out the whole thing if it cannot be run as a Christian nation ought to run it." [Applause.]

Is war itself Christian, Mr. SIMS? Would a really Christian nation, if there were one, run war schools?

A good gray poet, the Hon. BROODHOOD H. CUTLER, the Long Island Theocritus, has just sold more of his broad lands at a fat price. It is a pleasure to see the Muse no longer out at elbows and clumping along barefoot, but whizzing in her devil wagon and able to substitute the shovels for the lyre on dividend days.

Unconscious Humor.

From the New York Tribune.

Even Aristides by the very fame of his excellence worried Athens. Mr. Odell cannot hope to escape the common fate, and he will not. It is a pleasure to see the Muse no longer out at elbows and clumping along barefoot, but whizzing in her devil wagon and able to substitute the shovels for the lyre on dividend days.

Gen. McClellan's Headgear.

To the Editor of THE SUN:—I do not believe that Gen. McClellan ever wore a slouch hat. It is possible, may be, that in the early part of 1861 in Washington, on occasions of ceremony, he might have worn the regular "slouch" hat, with feathers, but I doubt it. I have seen a sort of cockade representing the American coat of arms, a sort of cross between a sombrero and the steeple crowned top of the Pilem.

In the old "slouch" hat, which was not like the ridiculous "padding" cap served out by the authorities, nor quite like the French forage cap of that day, which it much resembled, but which was a good deal more comfortable.

The equestrian portrait of the organizer of the Army of the Potomac, and its redeemer after Pope's fiasco, in the committee room of the Board of Aldermen, Sammity, gives a most excellent idea of the General and his headgear. "Volunteer" is scarcely correct in saying the Army of the Potomac at first mostly wore the fatigue cap. They wore only the "slouch" hat, and it was a nuisance, and way. We don't need statues, we want men and women always. However, do you like, Ted, you have common sense, honesty and much, oh, very much, to be sure, but I don't think you have ever come down the river. Do as you think best. What does Miss Columbia say?

Theodore: Oh, she doesn't care one way or the other. She's too busy.

Uncle Sam: Well, use your own judgment, Ted. How are the Senators to-day? I felt some teeth there. Well, so long, I'm off.

Theodore: Well, at this moment, Sam, you haven't forgotten that I'm here?

Uncle Sam: What present?

Theodore: Why, the one you promised me—you know, the one you promised me.

Uncle Sam: Gone clean out of my mind—not a new State?

Theodore: Dear me, no, are you listening?

Uncle Sam: Yes.

Theodore: These boats.

Uncle Sam: What boats?

Theodore: Oh, you know well enough.

Uncle Sam: Search me. I don't know.

Theodore: (softly)—Two battleships.

Parkways and the City's Credit.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN:—The residents of Seventh avenue are clamoring for a parkway in the center of that thoroughfare to do away with the traffic jam which has become a daily plague to the city. It is a disgrace to any city, and I fear when the city shall have ceased spending the money on the proposed Seventh avenue parkway it will realize the wisdom of the city's credit. The grass plots being so in name only, in fact being covered with the refuse of all descriptions—ashes, old shoes, tin cans, etc., the trees are rapidly dying, and the city is being disgraced by the sight of their rotting remains. The first of July generally finds the foliage of a great portion of them demolished by caterpillars. This condition exists in many of the other parks, but nevertheless the city proceeds to spend millions in such questionable improvements as the new Seventh avenue parkway. Subsequent should be aroused to the fact of such expenditures, particularly in view of the fact that the city finds it increasingly difficult to find a market for its corporate stock, which has fallen from a 2.75 per cent. basis in former years to a 1.25 per cent. basis and the red is not yet in sight. J. T. BECKWITH.

CATTLE IN THE TROPICS.

Europeans used to say that cattle in the tropics were worth nothing except for hides and horns. This may be true to-day in some of the most humid and heated parts of the tropics, but our domestic animal is making so much headway in the warm countries as cattle. In many places, even under the equator, cattle are becoming a new source of wealth, and the people are deriving much comfort and utility from them.

Fifteen years ago they were importing cattle into the Congo basin to supply fresh meat for the whites. Then the experiment was made of introducing cattle for breeding purposes, and as it was thought European animals could not endure the change in climate they were brought from the Canary Islands and other points off the African coast. They did well on the pastures of the lower Congo, and finally some one conceived the idea that European breeds would thrive in the better climate of the great plateau in the interior.

There are to-day about seventy centres for breeding cattle scattered over the Congo State. The stock was not brought from the islands, but represents a number of the best milk and beef breeds of Europe. The enterprise has not succeeded equally well in all places, but it has proved on the whole to be a great success. Twenty years ago the natives in vast districts of the Congo basin had never heard of cattle. An Angora saddle or driven into many a Congo village was one of the wonders of the world. But the whole Congo is going to have all the cattle it needs and the animals are already a great blessing to the whites for a thousand miles from the Atlantic.

If we were to take a steamboat trip up the Magdalena River we should see just the backs of thousands of cattle grazing in the lush grass of the hot Colombian plain. But even in the Colombian cattle are going to Cuba hereafter.

Cuba's herds were nearly all destroyed during the long war, but by large importation the island has almost 2,000,000 head at last, and our Secretary of Legation at Havana writes that there is an excellent profit in fattening cattle for the market.

There ought to be. The grasses are sweet and nutritious, and much of the grazing is of the best quality year around. Haystacks are never a feature of the Cuban landscape, and a new phase of the cattle business has come into it to increase the profits of the Cuban industry.

The islanders are beginning to demand a better grade of beef, and they are importing a considerable number of Herefords and shorthorns. Both Spaniards and Cubans are showing an interest in better breeds and in scientific methods of breeding. Cuba is beginning to do what the Congo State has been doing for several years, and with good home markets and opportunities for export, cattle raising is likely to become one of the three great industries of the island.

Christmas at Washington.

Theodore: Hello.

Uncle Sam: Hello.

Theodore: That you, Sammy?

Uncle Sam: Yes, don't sing me that box song, Ted; I'm on to that—that you want?

Theodore: Want? No, you know I want you. Perhaps I have some news for you.

Uncle Sam: That's the way they generally begin when they want something, my son.

Theodore: (laughing)—See you weren't born to be a jester, Ted, and it's well you were not, too. What I wanted to say was that I've received a present from Miss Columbia. What do you think of it?

Uncle Sam: I'm a poor dresser, Ted, Pearl necklace?

Theodore: No.

Uncle Sam: Give it up. A new religion?

Theodore: Uncle, you're joking, my son.

Uncle Sam: Can't guess, my boy—she has everything she needs, and more too—what is it?

Theodore: A statue.

Uncle Sam: Gee whizz! Of a woman?

Theodore: No, a man.

Uncle Sam: Who is it? George, Abraham, "Tike," Theodore? Not at all, Ted, it's a home-made one. You see this little figure over the wall, oh, many years ago, and a sort of connection of his past status over to commemorate the time.

Uncle Sam: The what?

Theodore: To tell the truth, I've forgotten. However, I couldn't very well refuse; only now I don't know what to do with it. What do you suggest?

Uncle Sam: Philadelphia?

Theodore: Uncle, if they could hear you'd be serious, please.

Uncle Sam: I don't think there a vacant spot between Bottle Burns and Bill Shakes.

Theodore: Do be serious, this is important.

Uncle Sam: How deep is the Potomac?

Theodore: Well, my ancestors must have been either Irish or Gascon.

Uncle Sam: Or perhaps Greek or Roman, no doubt, Theodore, well, as a matter of fact, I don't know what to advise you. It's a nuisance, and way. We don't need statues, we want men and women always. However, do you like, Ted, you have common sense, honesty and much, oh, very much, to be sure, but I don't think you have ever come down the river. Do as you think best. What does Miss Columbia say?

Theodore: Oh, she doesn't care one way or the other. She's too busy.

Uncle Sam: Well, use your own judgment, Ted. How are the Senators to-day? I felt some teeth there. Well, so long, I'm off.

Theodore: Well, at this moment, Sam, you haven't forgotten that I'm here?

Uncle Sam: What present?

BALTIMORE.

Strange Facts Collected by a Visitor in Maryland's Big Town.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE SUN:—There are many things in the city of Baltimore that are strange to the stranger, and the stranger is in no country. It is a separate political city. But then it has no control over its police force. That organization is ruled by commissioners appointed by the Governor of the State. The number of commissioners cannot be increased except by permission from the State Legislature.

In Baltimore nearly all houses except those built in recent years are subject to a ground rent which is irredeemable and the parcels rent which has been held on to them and don't let go until death compels them to give them to their descendants.

In Baltimore when the city